

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,518	11/25/2003	Koji Yamaya	17280	5316
	590 03/26/200 T MURPHY & PRES	EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN C	•	KASZTEJNA, MATTHEW JOHN		
SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		$$ ϵ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/721,518	YAMAYA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew J. Kasztejna	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replied will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	4 December 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
· — · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) 7-9 is/are objected to.	dlas alastias sascinas ant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 <i>November</i> 2003</u> i	• • • •	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docume2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	"				
application from the International Bure	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/721,518

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Notice of Amendment

In response to the amendment filed on December 14, 2006, the current rejections of the claims under U.S. Patent No. 6,352,503 to Matsui et al. *stand*. The following grounds of rejection are set forth:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-4 all recite the limitation "the projecting side". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,352,503 to Matsui et al.

In regards to claims 1-4, Matsui et al. disclose an endoscope apparatus 101 comprising: an insertion portion 102 having first 141 and second 143 channels arranged

Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

therein and terminating at first and second openings, respectively, at a distal portion of . the insertion portion; an observation optical system which is arranged to the insertion portion (see Col. 11, Lines 57-59); a first treatment-tool oscillating base which guides, in a first direction, a first treatment-tool 145 guided via the first channel 141 arranged to the insertion portion, the first treatment-tool oscillating base being provided so as to be rotatable in the first opening corresponding to the first channel at a projection side of the first treatment tool; and a second treatment-tool oscillating base which guides, in a second direction, a second treatment-tool 147 guided via the second channel arranged in the insertion portion, the second treatment-tool oscillating base being provided so as to be rotatable in the second opening corresponding to the second channel at a projection side of the second treatment tool; wherein the end of at least one of the first and second treatment-tools guided by the first and second treatment-tool oscillating bases is guided to the outside of a field of view from the inside of an endoscope image based on an optical image obtained by the observation optical system (see Fig. 29 and Col. 14, Lines 10-67).

In regards to claims 5-6, Matsui et al. disclose an endoscope apparatus, wherein the edge of the first treatment-tool is guided to the outside of the field of view from the inside, toward substantially a vertical direction of a screen of the endoscope image, and the edge of the second treatment-tool is guided within the field of view, toward substantially a horizontal direction of the screen of the endoscope image and wherein the outside of the field of view is one in the top direction of the screen of the endoscope image (see Figs 1, 12 and 29 and Col. 14, Lines 10-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 14, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that Matsui et al. fails to disclose the recited claims as currently amended. However, Matsui et al. clearly meets the limitations of the recited claims according to the eighth embodiment as seen Fig. 28-29 and disclosed in Col. 14, Lines 10-67 and discussed above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/721,518

Art Unit: 3739

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kasztejna whose telephone number is (571) 272-6086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJK _{:س}د

3/6/7

LINDA C. M. DVORAK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3700

Page 5